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ACEs Screening in Primary Care

Rationale
ACEs Prevalence in Adults

- CDC/Kaiser cross-sectional study in 1995-1997
  - 17,000 adults, mostly Caucasian and higher SES
- Survey about childhood trauma and current health

Felitti 1998; Image from Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Dose-dependent response

Odds Ratios for 4+ ACE (vs 0 ACE):
- 2x ischemic heart disease
- 2x cancer
- 7x alcoholism
- 10x intravenous drug abuse
- 12x suicide attempts

People with 6+ ACE had a 20 year decrease in life span!!!

Felitti 1998; Brown 2009; Image from Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
ACEs Prevalence in Children

- 95,677 Random Phone Interviews of Parents with Children 0-17 years old
- Asked about:
  - Parental mental illness
  - Parental substance abuse
  - Parental divorce
  - Parental death
  - Household member incarcerated
  - Child witnessed neighborhood violence
  - Child witnessed adult treated violently
  - Child treated violently
  - Economic hardship

### Child ACE Prevalence

- **0**
  - United States: 54
  - Illinois: 59
- **1-2**
  - United States: 35
  - Illinois: 32
- **3+**
  - United States: 11
  - Illinois: 9

National Survey of Children’s Health 2014
Child Health Outcomes of ACEs

**Child Health Outcomes**

- Obesity
- Hypertension
- Developmental delay
- Cognitive impairment
- Psychosomatic concerns
- Substance abuse
- Teen pregnancy
- Juvenile incarceration
- Mental health disorders
- Suicide attempts
- Uncontrolled chronic disease

Burke 2011; Pretty 2013; Wing 2015; Flaherty 2013; Guinosso 2016; Kerker 2015; Su 2015; Hillis 2004; Cluver 2015; Fox 2015. Image from Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
What Does Child Toxic Stress Look Like?

• Overeating or undereating
  • Obesity and related co-morbidities
• Developmental delay or regression (bed wetting)
• Sleep disturbances
• Psychosomatic complaints: headache, stomachache
• School avoidance and truancy
• Inattention, hyperactivity, academic underachievement
  • Mimics ADHD
• Feeling sad, anxious, or hypervigilant
  • Overlaps with depression, anxiety, and PTSD
• Social withdrawal, isolation
• Behavioral outbursts, irritability, mood swings
• Risk taking behaviors – smoking, alcohol, drugs, sex
  • Teen pregnancy, preventable injuries
• Uncontrolled chronic disease (asthma, diabetes, hypertension)

...anything and everything.
Early ACEs Identification Helps!

Not every child with ACEs will have significant dysfunction. Early identification and intervention can reduce the risk of unhealthy behaviors, disease, and death.
The American Academy of Pediatrics Endorses Screening

Policy Statement
Early Childhood Adversity, Toxic Stress, and the Role of the Pediatrician: Translating Developmental Science Into Lifelong Health

Within this highly variable and multi-dimensional context, the AAP and others have encouraged pediatric providers to develop a screening schedule that uses age-appropriate, standardized tools to identify risk factors that are highly prevalent or relevant to their particular practice setting.²⁹,⁶⁶,⁶⁷
ACEs Screening in Primary Care

Controversy
WHO Principles of Screening as they relate to child ACEs

1. the condition should be an important health problem - **YES**
2. there should be a recognizable latent or early symptomatic stage - **YES**
3. the natural history of the condition, including development from latent to declared disease, should be adequately understood – **SOMEWAT YES**
4. there should be an accepted treatment for patients with recognized disease - **NO**
5. there should be a suitable test or examination that has a high level of accuracy - **NO**
6. the test should be acceptable to the population - **UNKNOWN**
7. there should be an agreed policy on whom to treat as patients - **NO**
8. facilities for diagnosis and treatment should be available - **NO**
9. the cost of screening (including diagnosis and treatment of patients diagnosed) should be economically balanced in relation to possible expenditure on medical care as a whole - **UNKNOWN**
10. screening should be a continuing process and not a ‘once and for all’ project. - **YES**
Screening for any condition in isolation without the capacity to ensure referral and linkage to appropriate treatment is ineffective and, arguably, unethical.
Argument 1: We Don’t Know Exactly What To Screen For Yet

- Screening questions are still in flux
  - Original 10 ACEs were chosen somewhat arbitrarily
  - Newer/as of yet unknown ACEs may be more relevant
    - Bullying, witnessing neighborhood violence, life-threatening illness
  - ACE burden may change over time
    - Parental divorce is not as strongly linked to negative health outcomes now as it was 20 years ago

- No age-specific guidelines
  - Do we ask the same questions to parents of 1-year-olds and 17-year-olds?

- When should the child/teen start answering the screen?
- Should we screen for parental ACEs with or instead of child ACEs?
- Validated screening tools work in research settings but may not work in a real-world busy clinic

Finkelhor 2017; Wade 2014; Finkelhor 2012
Argument 2:
Screening May Cause More Harm Than Good

• Expensive and time-intensive to train staff
• Underdiagnosis or overdiagnosis
• Undertreatment or overtreatment
• Patient may feel stigmatized which could disrupt patient-doctor relationship
• Burden on mental health system is already too high
• Real or potential involvement of Department of Child And Family Services (DCFS) may lead to families avoiding the medical home
Argument 3: We Don’t Have Solid Interventions Yet

• Screening should only be performed when we have proven interventions that reduce harm
• Evidence-based interventions for high child ACEs:
  • Are in the early stages of development (ie trauma-informed cognitive behavioral therapy)
  • Are not widely available or practiced by most behavioral health specialists
• Disclosure of ACEs may itself be therapeutic, but this is a theoretical benefit

Finkelhor 2017; Milch 2004; Babor 2007; MacMillan 2009; Feder 2009; Felitti 2010
Our Experience

*The ASK Questions for Health Study*
The Problem

• Pediatricians under-identify toxic stress risk factors
  • ACEs
  • Unmet social needs (USNs) – food, housing, childcare, etc

• No studies have examined feasibility and efficacy of universal
  toxic stress screening in pediatric primary care

• No validated screening tool assesses for both ACEs + USNs
  • WE CARE Screening for USN, SEEK Screening for ACE

Kerker 2016; AAP 2013; Garg 2007; Dubowitz 2009
Objectives

1. Determine the prevalence of toxic stress risk factors (USNs + ACEs) with universal screening using the Addressing Social Key (ASK) Questions for Health Questionnaire.

2. Determine referral rates to community resources before and after implementation of ASK screening.

3. Determine feasibility and families’ acceptance of ASK screening.
Setting, Population, and Study Period

• Setting
  • Four academic pediatric primary care clinics in Chicago

• Patient Population
  • Age < 18 years
  • Urban
  • Racially diverse
  • Medicaid recipients

• Study Period
  • August 2016 – February 2017
Study Design: Two Components

• Clinical Initiative
  • To identify and address toxic stress risk factors
  • To integrate a **novel screening tool** for toxic stress risk factors into well child visits
  • To increase **referral to community resources** for toxic stress risk factors

• Research Study
  • To determine characteristics of children with greater chance of having toxic stress risk factors
  • To determine feasibility and **families’ acceptance** of the new clinical initiative

*Underlined* – addresses WHO screening principles not yet studied for ACEs
Study Design: Clinical Initiative

• Multidisciplinary team developed the ASK Questionnaire
  • Novel 13-item screening tool for toxic stress (USNs + ACEs)

• Social workers from each site developed 13 topic-specific community resource lists corresponding to each ASK question

• Toxic stress training was provided to clinicians, social workers, and clinic staff members

• Protocols were developed for:
  • Distributing the ASK to families
  • Clinician assessment, counseling, and referral
Study Design: Clinical Protocol

• Families receive the ASK Questionnaire upon check-in
  • Well child visits for children 0-17 years (excluding newborn visit)
  • Accompanied by a parent or legal guardian
  • Caregiver spoke English or Spanish comfortably

• Families complete questionnaire in waiting room
Study Design: Clinical Protocol

• Clinicians review ASK results during well child visit

• For all screens, clinicians initial questionnaire

• For positive screens, clinicians:
  • Refer to community resources using the question-specific resource lists
    • CHICAGO RESOURCES AVAILABLE AT: http://www.askproject.org/
  • Consult social work if necessary
ASK Project at CHUI

Select a question below to be directed to the appropriate resource handouts, based on responses to ASK questionnaire

1. High school degree or GED info in English | Spanish
2. Job info in English | Spanish
3. Childcare Support in English | Spanish OR Before/After School in English | Spanish
4. Food Support
5. Housing OR Utility Assistance
6. Legal Assistance
7. Grief/Loss Support in English | Spanish
8. Behavioral Health & Substance Abuse
9. Bullying Support in English | Spanish
10. Community Violence Support in English | Spanish
11 & 12. Child Abuse/Neglect OR Other Trauma

Other information/resources
1. ASK training powerpoint
2. Guardianship Support
Study Design: Research Protocol

• Families
  • Upon check-in, families were invited to participate in a research study to evaluate new ASK Questionnaire
  • If yes, family filled out demographic and family satisfaction surveys

• Clinic Staff
  • Clinicians, nurses, MAs, social workers, and front desk clerks
  • Completed online survey about their ASK screening experience at the end of the study

• IRB approval was obtained at each institution
Data Collection

• Demographics

• Prevalence of USNs, ACEs, parental ACEs

• Referrals before and after ASK implementation

• Survey information about satisfaction with ASK screening
  • Family (study patients only)
  • Clinicians
  • Social workers
  • Clinic staff
Statistics

- Frequency distributions
- Chi-square tests
- Poisson regression
- Wilcoxon rank-sum test
Results, Part 1

• Nearly 2600 families completed an ASK Questionnaire, representing a little more than half of eligible well-child visits

• Most were English-speaking, ethnic minorities, and had public insurance.

• Half of families had at least one risk factor for toxic stress

Selvaraj 2018 – specific data omitted as manuscript is in review phase
Results, Part 2

• Most stressors reported by families were USNs

• Very few families disclosed child ACEs; almost none disclosed parental ACEs

• Male, African-American, Hispanic, and publicly insured patients were more likely to report at least one stressor

Selvaraj 2018 – specific data omitted as manuscript is in review phase
Results, Part 3

• ~10% of visits led to at least 1 community referral
  • Parental unemployment, housing/bills, bullying

• Community referrals increased >5x after implementing screening

• Most families had a positive experience with the screening process and wanted clinic to continue screening
Our Experience

Lessons Learned
Conclusions

• Universal screening identified and improved referrals for family needs.

• Prevalence of USNs was consistent with prior studies.

• ASK Questionnaire is unsuccessful in identifying child or parental ACEs.

• Screening was acceptable to families and feasible to implement in the busy clinic setting.
Strengths and Limitations

• Strengths
  • Multi-center design with large sample size
  • Study design reflects “real world” implementation
  • ASK Questionnaire adapted from the literature
  • Implementation protocol reflected multi-disciplinary perspectives

• Limitations
  • No control group
  • Sub-optimal rate of ASK questionnaire distribution
  • Relyed on self-report data
Lesson 1: It Takes a Long Time to Set Up an ACEs Screening Protocol

• Our group first met in April 2015 to discuss screening, and we started screening in clinics in July 2016

• Need time to:
  • Identify colleagues to spearhead this with you
  • Look into/decide on a screening tool
  • Identify community resources that are accessible, affordable, and address your screening items
  • Train your entire clinic staff
  • Incorporate it into clinical flow
Lesson 2: Choose Your Team Wisely

• This is time-intensive, and you WILL hit road blocks along the way.

• Choose collaborators who are passionate about the topic, challenge you to think creatively, and are willing to put in the work

• We came together from 4 competing organizations to fulfill 1 common mission, and we are stronger for that

• This is especially important if you’re doing this work without additional funding/support (like we did!)
Lesson 3: Train Everyone

• Make your whole clinic trauma-informed and train everyone on ACEs!
  • Clinicians, medical assistants, nurses, social workers, front desk staff, security staff

• Each person interact with patients in different capacities
  • Family might be more comfortable talking about this to an MA they’ve known for a long time
  • Front desk staff or security guards may need to de-escalate charged situations resulting from high parental ACEs
Lesson 4: Re-Train Regularly

• People may be excited about screening at first, but they may forget as time goes on

• Re-train your staff regularly to:
  • Identify and address staff concerns early and often
  • Keep momentum going
  • Train people who missed your original training
Lesson 5: Survey Burden Is Real

• Be cognizant of how many papers you’re asking a family to fill out at once
  • ACEs screening
  • Post partum depression screening
  • Developmental screening
  • Autism screening
  • Teenage depression screening
  • Insurance forms
  • HIPAA forms
  • Consent forms

• Try to stagger your screenings so that parents only have to fill out 1-2 medical forms per visit

• Helps medical staff work flow + parents
Lesson 6: Make Sure You’re Managing Positive Screens

• Have a mechanism in place to know that families who disclose ACEs or request help have their concerns addressed

• It is unethical to screen for a problem and then do nothing about it
  • May cause distrust in medical system
Lesson 7: Framing Is Everything

• Families want to know why you’re asking them these very personal questions.

• Emphasize that your screening is routinely done with all patients because you know that these questions are related to their health.

• Point of screening is to help, not to pry.

• Parents want to know that you’re asking genuinely to help, not to check off a box.

• Be clear about what you will do with their information – families are very worried about this!
Lesson 8: Keep Asking

• Even though parents didn’t disclose their child’s ACEs, they were happy we asked the questions

• Parents have shared that they would disclose family ACEs to their pediatrician instead of their own physician

• Parents might need several opportunities before to disclose an ACE

• Screening sends out a message that your clinic is a place they can turn to if they need help, even if they’re ok at the moment
Lesson 9: Form Partnerships
Outside Your Setting

• Get to know the community partners you’re referring to

• Might expedite referrals/appointment times

• Have a go-to contact person at the organization for questions
Lesson 10: You Don’t Need $$ To Do This, But It Sure Could Help

• We did this at 4 institutions with no funding

• It’s doable, but it’s nice to have money if you can get it!
  • Ensure screens are followed up on
  • Help patients navigate referrals
  • Call families to check in between clinic visits
Practical Considerations
What Are You Going To Ask About?

• Ask about issues that are common in your population

• Don’t need to ask all 10 original ACEs
  • Consider the expanded ACEs

• Decide whether you will ask:
  • Whether the child experienced the ACE at all
  • Whether the family wants help with the ACE exposure
    • This is probably more important to ask!
  • Both
Start Small

• Instead of screening for all 10 ACEs at once, considering starting with just 1 or 2 questions that are the most relevant to your population

• Add more questions slowly as you incorporate the screening into your work flow
Highlight the Family Strengths

• These conversations can be hard

• Highlight the family/child strengths to end the visit on a positive note

• Parental and social support is the most important protective factor against toxic stress
Screen Everyone

• Targeted screening based on income, class, race, etc is not advised

• You never know who is going through these issues

• Not everyone ‘at risk’ is having problems
Future of Screening & Opportunities for Collaboration
ASK Short-Term Directions

• Determine parental utilization and satisfaction of community referrals by conducting follow-up telephone interviews

• Revise ASK questionnaire using semi-structured parent interviews to identify barriers to ACEs disclosure and to improve identification of ACE
ASK Long-Term Directions

• Conduct a validation study of the revised ASK questionnaire at expanded sites

• Identify whether early identification and treatment of ACEs improves short and long-term health outcomes
Future of Screening

• Research on prevalence and outcomes of ACEs exist
• Need new research on:
  • Validated screening tools
  • EFFECTIVE TREATMENT models
• Need massive education efforts in all sectors to raise awareness of the impact of ACEs to come up with multidisciplinary solutions
We Can’t Do This Alone

• The impact of ACEs stretches across many settings:
  • Homes
  • Neighborhoods
  • Clinics and hospitals
  • Mental health organizations
  • Schools
  • Community organizations
  • Police force
  • Juvenile incarceration centers

• We need to work together to build trauma-informed communities
What’s the Punchline?: Promoting Child and Teacher Resilience Through Pediatrician–Teacher Partnerships

Kavitha Selvaraj, MD, MPH, MAEd

The Editorial Board of the Section on Pediatric Trainees Monthly Feature is proud to feature an article by Dr. Kavitha Selvaraj, who suggests that the effects of toxic stress can be combated through the development of physician–teacher partnerships. Her article concluded our series of featured essays on the Advocacy Campaign for 2016–2017.

Catherine Spaulding, MD, Editor, Pediatrics, SOPT Monthly Feature

I first learned about toxic stress the day my student “I” punched me in the face. At the dean’s office, and the crowd dispersed. I stood there a moment longer, my cheek throbbing and my ego even more wounded, in shock at what had just occurred.

After finding an ice pack for my swollen face, I dialed the number for I’s mother. To my surprise, the call was answered by a substance abuse rehabilitation facility. After hearing about the morning’s events, she mumbled, “So what?” and hung up the phone. I was instantly worried. Who was taking

Selvaraj 2018
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